



### **EVALMIT** Evaluation of Mathematics, ICT and Technology Krikor Ozanyan, 7 April 2025





### The EVALMIT national committee

- Krikor Ozanyan, University of Manchester (Chair)
- Deborah Greaves, University of Plymouth
- Jan S Hesthaven, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology
- Rebecka Jörnsten, University of Gothenburg
- Claudio Mazzotti, University of Bolona
- Lina Sarro, Delft University of Technology
- Bo Wahlberg, KTH Royal Institute of Technology
- Erik Arnold, Technopolis (Secretary to the committee)





# Mathematics, ICT and Technology research in Norway

- → RCN invests roughly 40% of its budget in these disciplines
- They underpin most of the research done in Norwegian manufacturing industry
- They are key to the major policy challenges we face
   Green and digital transitions
   Defence, physical- and cyber-security
   Al and its implications





# A national research effort, with a centre of gravity in Trondheim



Sector	Institution/institute	Number of publications	Modified author shares	Share mod. author shares
Higher	NTNU	2121	1476.2	35.1%
education sector	UiO	557	352.2	8.4%
	UiA	372	257.1	6.1%
	UiS	300	203.9	4.9%
	UiT	288	188.6	4.5%
	UiB	287	187.3	4.5%
	HVL	251	153.4	3.6%
	USN	200	152.7	3.6%
	OsloMet	205	128.9	3.1%
	NMBU	147	90.9	2.2%
	Østfold	88	57.4	1.4%
	Other units	264	161.0	3.8%
Research	SINTEF	314	199.8	4.8%
Institutes	SINTEF Energy	178	112.7	2.7%
	SINTEF Ocean	92	56.3	1.3%
	NORCE	90	53.2	1.3%
	Other units	496	297.0	7.1%

Norwegian MIT publications 2022

Norwegian MIT co-authorships 2020-2022





### MIT overall

- Mathematics: traditional universities strong in pure maths and stats; institutes better in applied maths
- ICT: NTNU and SINTEF have leading positions, but growth in ICT industries has made it easier to establish some strong research groups elsewhere, including at newer universities and colleges
- Technology: NTNU and SINTEF are also central here, especially when relating to traditional Norwegian industries





6

# The research group evaluations show research quality and societal impact are related in MIT areas







7

# Traditional universities score high, new ones less so, institutes are mostly in the middle







### Mathematics – SWOT

Strengths	Weaknesses
High-performing groups have active international research networks	Underperforming groups lack cohesive research strategy and have limited
<ul> <li>Balance traditional and emerging research topics</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Active interdisciplinary collaborations bring high societal impact</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Groups without networks or clear research profiles have lower visibility and productivity/impact</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Dynamic research environments with a healthy balance between senior, junior faculty, PhDs and postdocs</li> </ul>	Gender imbalance
	<ul> <li>Several groups have an under-sized PhD programmes, limiting productivity, knowledge transfer and impact</li> </ul>
Opportunities	Threats
<ul> <li>Use mobility grants, develop long-term recruitment pans</li> </ul>	Falling student numbers
<ul> <li>National initiative to increase the number of students in mathematics</li> </ul>	Less funding for fundamental research
More collaboration with regional stakeholders to increase societal impact	<ul> <li>Investing in topics that cannot be maintained long-term</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Smaller groups should identify strengths and develop clear research profiles; consider consolidation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lack of long-term recruitment strategies in the face of generational turn- over, gender imbalance, lack of agile research agenda</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>More ambitious publication strategies</li> </ul>	Static, narrow research agenda misses opportunities with global impact
	Lack of clear benchmarking leading to poor strategic planning



### ICT\_SWOT

Strengths	Weaknesses		
<ul> <li>Several strong groups, some at international level</li> </ul>	Weaker groups lacked scale, focus, clear strategies and industry		
Strong industry links in these cases			
<ul> <li>Tackling both fundamental and applied research</li> </ul>	They generally lack industrial and international networks, and are often hindered by being inward-looking		
<ul> <li>Strong groups had bigger PhD student cadres and successful programs</li> </ul>	Weaker groups did less dissemination, eg through conferences		
	<ul> <li>Low institutional funding for institutes limits ability to do more path- breaking research</li> </ul>		
Opportunities	Threats		
Opportunities     Increasing EU networking and funding	Threats     Lack of resources to increase strategic focus and scale		
<ul> <li>Opportunities</li> <li>Increasing EU networking and funding</li> <li>Improve dissemination</li> </ul>	Threats         • Lack of resources to increase strategic focus and scale         • Too strong emphasis on applied work at the expense of smaller scale		
<ul> <li>Opportunities</li> <li>Increasing EU networking and funding</li> <li>Improve dissemination</li> <li>More rapid take-up of newer technologies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Threats</li> <li>Lack of resources to increase strategic focus and scale</li> <li>Too strong emphasis on applied work at the expense of smaller scale fundamental work</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Opportunities</li> <li>Increasing EU networking and funding</li> <li>Improve dissemination</li> <li>More rapid take-up of newer technologies</li> <li>Opportunities to leverage AI in engineering and other applied fields</li> </ul>	Threats         • Lack of resources to increase strategic focus and scale         • Too strong emphasis on applied work at the expense of smaller scale fundamental work         • Insufficient local support		
<ul> <li>Opportunities</li> <li>Increasing EU networking and funding</li> <li>Improve dissemination</li> <li>More rapid take-up of newer technologies</li> <li>Opportunities to leverage AI in engineering and other applied fields</li> <li>Increase industry interaction to raise quality and impact</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Threats</li> <li>Lack of resources to increase strategic focus and scale</li> <li>Too strong emphasis on applied work at the expense of smaller scale fundamental work</li> <li>Insufficient local support</li> <li>Lack of gender diversity</li> </ul>		

## technopolis Technology – SWOT

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Strengths	Weaknesses	
<ul> <li>Thriving Information Engineering and Power Engineering</li> </ul>	Weak strategic planning in many units limits impact, especially at some of the	
<ul> <li>Research groups at NTNU and SINTEF in general stand out</li> </ul>	smaller universities, even though topics should have high impact	
<ul> <li>All research groups are covering research fields of strategic relevance for</li> </ul>	Lack of succession planning and over-reliance on individual research leaders	
Norway	Lack of gender balance	
The infrastructure and equipment are generally modern	<ul> <li>Few PhD students compared to scientific staff</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Strong industry collaboration and industrial grant funding</li> </ul>	Relatively little international collaboration	
<ul> <li>Marine technology/ocean engineering research is very strong</li> </ul>	Groups are fairly reliant on RCN funding.	
<ul> <li>SINTEF, NTNU, UIT and USN have strong societal impact due to excellent research collaboration and/or knowledge transfer partnership with industry.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>National grants and industrial collaboration can limit the number of high- quality publications and the international comparison.</li> </ul>	
Opportunities	Threats	
<ul> <li>Leveraging global challenges I to enhance visibility and funding.</li> </ul>	Some structural inefficiencies and high teaching loads.	
<ul> <li>Expanding collaborations with international and industrial partners</li> </ul>	Limited societal impact in some groups	
Opportunities for some smaller universities to increase research, knowledge	<ul> <li>Lack of strategic planning for research prevents goal attainment</li> </ul>	
transfer and capacity to create significant impact	• The trend for funding to be increasingly for interdisciplinary work can reduce	
<ul> <li>Digitalisation and sustainability are critical emerging topics of this panel with plenty of opportunities to excel at international level</li> </ul>	the funding available for low TRL-level (basic) research, draining the pipeline for future innovations	
<ul> <li>Increase competences through interdisciplinary collaboration and more intense use of shared national research infrastructures</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Retention: international academics and industrial experts returning to 'home' countries due to changes in governmental policies.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>RCN and institutions could ring-fence funding for new research groups for a limited period.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In some areas it is difficult to attract and retain academic staff since industry offers competitive salaries.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Consider longer-term diversification in emerging areas</li> </ul>	The continued strong demand for oil & gas engineers risks preventing the	
<ul> <li>Redirect support from O&amp;G companies to emerging research areas</li> </ul>	development of training and demand for new skills and talent.	
<ul> <li>Develop techniques for O&amp;G infrastructure exnovation</li> </ul>		





### Overall – success-factors in Norwegian MIT

- **¬** Bigger, resilient research groups with critical mass
- High quality applied research
- Close contact with industry and other knowledge users helps shape research agendas
- Research group-level, specific strategy
- Members of international research networks
- Ambitious publication strategies
- High ratios of junior researchers and PhD candidates to professors





### **Common issues**

- Poor gender balance in MIT fields (a global problem)
- Difficult to recruit students
- Strategy-building capacity needs strengthening
- Path dependencies in university, industry and regional structures impede change towards new themes
   Norway often slow to tackle new needs, eg new Al programme
- More fundamental research needed to maintain contact with and appropriate leading-edge knowledge
- Bibliometrics suggest some sub-fields need strengthening, especially in technology





# Women make up 25% of MIT university researchers in Norway, versus 51% across all fields

Proportion of women researchers in Norwegian MIT

	Professors	Associate professors	Researchers & postdocs	PhD students	Total
2021	15%	26%	24%	29%	25%
2017	12%	27%	26%	28%	24%
2013	10%	24%	23%	27%	22%





#### Generous infrastructure provision

National infrastructures	No of user AUs	International infrastructures	No of user AUs
Sigma2	11	ESA	12
NorFab	8	CERN	11
eX3	7	ELIXIR EMBL	6
Manulab	5	ECCSEL	7
NorPALabs	5	European Synchrotron Radiation Facility	4
ELIXIR.NO	4	ESS	3
Norwegian Advanced Battery Laboratory Infrastructure (NABLA)	4	LUMI Supercomputer	3
Norwegian Artificial Intelligence Cloud (NAIC)	4	SIOS Svalbard	3
NcNeotron/ESS	4	EuroHPC-JU EuroHPC Joint Undertaking,	2
OceanLab	4	Europ Bio-imaging ERIC	2
Norwegian Biorefinery Laboratory (NorBioLab)	4	ESRF-EBS	2
HydroCen	4	39 others	1 each
SmartGrid	3		
ZEBLab	3		
CCSEL Norway CCS RI	3		
HighEFFLab	3		
Smart Building Hub (SBHUB)	3		
14 other infrastructures	2 each		
64 other infrastructures	1 each		





# Scope to win more Framework Programme funding outside Trondheim ...



Framework Programme income 2020-2022 (NOKm)





### Impact cases

- Impacts easily cross disciplinary and industry boundaries
- Few cases involve the creation, packaging and transfer of intellectual property
- Where spin-offs occur, they tend to be in into established industrial clusters, rather than in new fields
- Only one clear case of an AI-based impact (Tsetlin machines)





### **Recommendations 1**

- Increase the ability of Norwegian MIT research to react to and initiate change in a timely way, in response to changes in technology and needs; create new research capacity at significant scale where needed, for example in catching up in the field of AI
- Safeguard the foundations of MIT by increasing support to fundamental research, especially in Mathematics, without reducing the effort in applied work
- Review national aims with respect to increasing the research-intensiveness of newer parts of the higher education system, and establish mechanisms such as 'pairings' between new and established institutions and research groups to strengthen capacity





### **Recommendations 2**

- Continue and strengthen the policy aim to increase participation in the EU Framework Programme
- Review the effectiveness of policies to reduce gender inequality in research to date and reduce gender inequality through career support to female researchers; investigate the policy implications of increasing recruitment into the research community from abroad



Abidjan Amsterdam Berlin Bogotá Brighton Brussels Frankfurt/Main Lisbon London Paris Stockholm Vienna